CR3 FORUM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
SITE ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

1. Site Details
   a. What is the site’s name/reference?
      CR3NP COH3036 Golden Lion Public House corner Townend and Chaldon Rd
   b. Where is the site located?
      Caterham on the Hill urban brownfield
   c. What is the site description?
      Brownfield site.
   d. What are the adjoining uses to the site?
      Retail and residential flats
   e. What is the site area (hectares)?
      0.33 acres
   f. What is the existing land use?
      Public House / restaurant / function room car park
   g. Who is/are the owner(s) of the site?
      Privately owned.
   h. What is the site’s planning history?
      TDC 2009/113 Erection of detached building providing 4x2 bed flats plus retail on part of site of Golden Lion. Refused.
      TDC 2009/906 Erection of attached garage
      TDC 2014/1499 Erection of single storey extension to the rear of existing building to include the creation of a disabled access to rear of the new extension - Withdrawn
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i. Desk top research & planning policy considerations:
   1. Any relevant planning policies
   2. Is the site allocated for particular use in the Local Plan?
   3. Do any Local Plan designations apply to the site? For example:
      - Greenbelt / Public Open Space / Strategic open space / Village confines / extent of settlement
      - Conservation Area / Protected wildlife or habitat / Landscape character area
   4. Do any other designations apply? e.g. National Park/AONB/Village Green / Local Green Space
   5. Are there any emerging local planning documents with relevant policies or designations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If re-developed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. TDC – CSP1, CSP2, CSP3, CSP7, CSP12, BE1, BE4, MO14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Tandridge Local Plan Part adopted at Full Council July 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP1, DP3, DP4, DP5, DP7, DP21 Town centre map</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

j. Are there national policy considerations that are relevant to the site? Are there policies, or is there evidence related to the regional strategy that is relevant to the site?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If site re-developed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 6 Delivering a wide choice of high quality homes paras 47,48,49,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 7 Requiring good design paras 56-66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

k. Does the site feature in or are there relevant policies in other local planning documents e.g. supplementary planning document, Village Design Statement, Conservation Area Appraisal?

   | No |

l. Does the site feature in assessments undertaken to support the Local Plan e.g. Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA), Sustainability Appraisal?

   | No |

2. Desktop evidence review
   a. Is the site in flood plain / known to be affected by flooding?

   | No |

   b. Could the land be contaminated by a former use or activity?

   Would development require the remediation of contaminated land?

   | Beer cellars |
c. Are there any nearby sources of noise of air pollution which could affect the site?
   No.

d. Does the site contain a valuable mineral resource?
   No.

e. Is the land of agricultural value / official designation of agricultural land?
   No.

f. Are there any ‘Listed’ buildings on or close to the site?
   No.

g. Could the site contain any archaeological remains?
   Possibly

h. Are there any issues of capacity on the local road network (congestion and/or parking)?
   The site is situated on the junction of two busy roads and mini roundabout

i. Are there any known legal considerations relevant to the site e.g. covenant?
   Not known.

3. Onsite considerations
   a. Access / How is the site accessed / Is it easily accessible from the highway?
      Easily accessible from the highway.

   b. Is the site accessible by public transport, cycling and walking?
      Easily accessible by bus (bus stop within 100m). 20 minutes walk from the nearest train station.

4. Existing features
   a. Are there any physical constraints affecting the site e.g. access, slope, pylons?
      Will the topography of the site constrain development e.g. steep slopes?
      Are there any power lines, pipelines or other infrastructure crossing or affecting the site?
      No
b. What natural features are there e.g. any trees, hedgerows, watercourse?
Are there features of particular biodiversity value?
Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, badgers, great crested newts etc.?

| There are a couple of medium sized trees on the site. In an urban area and not likely to be home to protected species. |

---

c. Are there any health and safety constraints e.g. nearby major hazard site?

| No |

d. Are there existing buildings that could be retained or converted?

| Yes |

e. Are there important views into or out of the site?

| No |

f. How might development at the site affect the skyline?

| Would need to be limited to the height of surrounding buildings 2/3 storeys. |

g. Are there any public rights of way affecting the site?

| No |

h. Information to record about neighbouring sites and the surrounding area.
What are the neighbouring uses? (What are the existing uses and are there any development proposals?). Are any of the adjacent uses, ‘bad neighbours’ giving rise to noise or fumes that could impact the development?
Could the original site be expanded into neighbouring sites?

| Neighbouring sites are a mix of commercial with residential over and residential and retirement flats. Existing use public house. With public houses closing there is potential for it to be developed into flats should this happen, although there would be issues with its position on the corner of two busy roads / mini roundabout. Probably not able to expand into neighbouring sites. |
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i. What is the local style of buildings – materials, scale, density?
   Mixed

j. Could development at the site cause any issues of overlooking or loss of privacy for neighbouring uses?
   Yes

k. Physical infrastructure and local services considerations
   Is the site connected to local utilities (such as water, energy supply and sewerage disposal)?
   Yes

l. Does the site have high speed broadband connection?
   Yes

5. Local facilities and services
   a. How close are the following local services and facilities? Where is the nearest:
      School (primary & secondary) / GP practice / Pharmacy / Local shops / Post Office / Library / Play space / Sports centre and/or pitches.
      - school within 100m
      - GP practice within 100m
      - pharmacy within 100m
      - shop within 50m
      - post office within 100m
      - library within 200m
      - play area within 200m
      - sports centre within 1 mile
b. What is the capacity of local schools?

Primary schools on the Hill, and in Chaldon and Whyteleafe are virtually full capacity but there is room for expansion on site for some. Hillcroft Primary is enlarging its intake from 11/2 to 2 form entry. There is some capacity in Caterham Valley and again these schools could be enlarged.

De Stafford has some capacity at present and there is room for expansion as has taken place in the past.

c. Are local services accessible by public transport, cycling and walking?

Yes

6. Community Infrastructure Levy

a. What are the priorities for local infrastructure improvements linked to the development of this site?

Could increase on-street parking

Improved bus services between the Valley and Whyteleafe and the Hill.

Improved traffic management between the main Caterham Valley town centre roundabout and up to the Hill via Church Hill.

The SCC Stage 2 Caterham Hill Traffic Study (mainly not implemented because of lack of SCC funds) could be updated to take account of current and forecast future traffic demand and then implemented. The old study contained several good proposals to improve traffic flow, parking and pedestrian safety and could be used as a baseline for a refresh and then implementation.

Deliverability

Starting to think about whether development of the site is deliverable and viable...

7. Suitability - potential constraints on development

a. Is there a record of local opinion towards development of the site?

No

8. Availability

a. Is the land owner willing for their site to come forward for development?

Not known
b. Are there any factors which might prevent or delay development e.g. tenancies, leases?

No

9. **Summary**
   Desktop research findings
   Planning policy considerations
   Onsite considerations
   Infrastructure & local services
   Deliverability
   Over what timeframe might the site become available for development - in years, 1-5 | 6-10 | 10+?

   If the owners wanted to develop the site could become available at any time.